

1 **WO**

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

9 United States of America,  
10 Plaintiff,  
11 v.  
12 Jaime Gonzalez-Nevarez,  
13 Defendant.  
14

No. 08-333-M

**ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO INDICT**  
(First Request)

15 HAVING considered Defendant first's Motion to Extend Time to Indict and good  
16 cause having been shown;

17 THE COURT makes the following findings:

- 18 1. Counsel for defendant has only recently been appointed;
- 19 2. The defendant earnestly wishes to consider the plea offer extended by the  
20 government;
- 21 3. The defendant wishes to investigate possible defenses prior to considering  
22 the government's plea offer;
- 23 4. The government's plea offer, if accepted by the defendant and then the  
24 court, would likely reduce defendant's exposure to a significant term of  
25 imprisonment;
- 26 5. If the defendant does not timely accept the plea offer prior to indictment,  
27 the government will withdraw said plea offer and any subsequent plea offer  
28 after indictment would likely be less advantageous to the defendant;
6. Failure to extend time for indictment in this instance would thus operate to  
bar defendant from reviewing the government's plea offer in a meaningful  
way prior to indictment; and

7. The ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy indictment.

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that defendant's Motion to Extend Time for Indictment requesting an extension of thirty (30) days within the government may seek to indict defendant, is hereby granted.

DATED this 21<sup>st</sup> day of August, 2008.